Casi	5. 1.10 CV 02047 CAB DOC#. 120	6 Filed. 04/10/19 2 01 76. PageID #. 88	133
1	APPEARANCES:		
2			
3	For the Plaintiff:	Subodh Chandra, Esq. Ashlie Case Sletvold, Esq.	
4		The Chandra Law Firm 1265 West Sixth Street	
5		Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113	
6	Davidanta.	Tan M. Dilana Ban	
7	For the Defendants:	Jon M. Dileno, Esq. David R. Vance, Esq. Zashin & Rich - Cleveland	
8		950 Main Avenue 4th Floor	
9		Cleveland, Ohio 44113	
10		William M. Menzalora, Esq. City of Cleveland	
11		Department of Law 601 Lakeside Avenue	
12		Suite 106 Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
13		Cieverand, Onio 44114	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	MONDAY, APRIL 8, 2019, 2:32 P.M.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated,
3	ladies and gentlemen.
4	THE CLERK: Your Honor, the case before the
14:32:54 5	Court this afternoon is the continuation of Sean DeCrane
6	versus Edward Eckart, Case Number 16CV2647.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8	I see everybody who was here Friday is here
9	today.
14:33:04 10	We're continuing the evidentiary hearing
11	and testimony.
12	Mr. Dileno.
13	MR. DILENO: Thank you, Your Honor. We
14	would call Kim Roberson.
14:33:11 15	If I might, prior to the start of the
16	hearing, I think Mr. Chandra had indicated that
17	Mr. Bardwell, they may call him back to, I guess, respond
18	to maybe whatever testimony Ms. Roberson whatever
19	testimony will be elicited from her.
14:33:28 20	We would ask that he be separated from the
21	Court for that purpose.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Chandra.
23	MR. CHANDRA: Your Honor, we are his
24	assistance is invaluable during the course of the
14:33:38 25	hearing. He's familiar with the exhibits. He has been

1	working with us on this matter.
2	It would present a hardship for us under
3	those circumstances.
4	THE COURT: I'll allow him in the
14:33:46 5	courtroom, and I'll decide whether he should be recalled.
6	Let's go.
7	MR. DILENO: Yes. Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Ma'am, be sworn, please.
9	KIMBERLY ROBERSON,
10	of lawful age, a witness called by the Defendants,
11	being first duly sworn, was examined
12	and testified as follows:
13	THE COURT: Please have a seat.
14	Please pull that microphone towards you.
14:34:36 15	Thank you.
16	Mr. Vance, go ahead.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF KIMBERLY ROBERSON
18	BY MR. VANCE:
19	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Roberson. If you could please
14:34:42 20	state your name for the Court.
21	A. Kim Roberson.
22	Q. And what is your current position, Ms. Roberson?
23	A. Public Records Administrator for the City of
24	Cleveland.
14:34:49 25	Q. Do you work with any particular City department?

- 1 A. The Department of Law.
- 2 Q. And how long have you worked in the Department of
- 3 | Law?
- 4 A. Almost 20 years.
- 14:34:59 5 Q. And have you worked in Public Records that entire
  - 6 20 years or so?
  - 7 | A. Yes.
  - 8 Q. Do you have any formal legal training?
  - 9 A. No.
- 14:35:08 10 Q. How is it that you learned to do what you do within
  - 11 Public Records?
  - 12 A. My initial training was by the Chief Counsel Leslie
  - 13 Milton when I started, and continual training from
  - various attorneys in the department based on the sections
- 14:35:22 15 that they work in.
  - 16 Q. Mr. Chandra, in a declaration he filed with the
  - 17 Court, stated that he worked closely with you in
  - 18 Cleveland's Law Department.
  - Was Mr. Chandra someone that you took
- 14:35:34 20 direction from?
  - 21 A. Yes.
  - 22 Q. And what was your position in the summer of 2016?
  - 23 A. My position was Public Records Administrator.
  - 24 Q. Same thing?
- 14:35:41 25 A. Yes.

1 And generally what were your duties at that time in Ο. 2 the summer of '16? 3 As Public Records Administrator, you receive the Α. 4 public records request, you -- via mail, e-mail, fax --14:35:56 5 stamp them the day that they are received. 6 You log them into a -- into the Public 7 Records Log with a number, the date that they submitted their request, the date that we received it, a 8 9 description of what it is that they are seeking to 14:36:10 10 obtain. 11 Then we designate the department and the 12 assigned person from those departments that we're 13 supposed to get the records from, and the assigned person 14 in the Law Department that will be responsible for 14:36:23 15 reviewing those records. 16 If you could, you should have an exhibit binder 0. 17 there in front of you. 18 Flip to Exhibit E. This document's 19 previously been identified last Thursday as a public 14:36:39 20 records request that was submitted on behalf of 21 Mr. DeCrane and is related to this matter. 22 Do you see that Exhibit E? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Okay. And do you by chance know whose handwriting 14:36:51 25 is up there on the top right corner of that page?

- A. That handwriting is mine.
- 2 Q. So in 2015, upon receipt of a public records 3 request like Exhibit E, what generally happens?
  - A. This request, we would normally stamp it and then we put a public records request number on it based on the number that comes up in the log, indicate what is being requested, and forward it to the departments and to the Law Department staff for any kind of notations that they need to make prior to us going to those departments.

Get the records from those departments, and have the Law Department, once those records come to the Law Department, we redact what it is that we know how to redact based on support staff. Then we give them to the attorneys to review for any additional instructions that they may have that they may not have provided to us to put into the log, or via e-mail, and give to them to review for release.

Q. And you mentioned a log a couple times, I think, now.

Could you go to Exhibit A, please? I realize this font is a little small, but in an effort to get it condensed to a page, could you kind of tell me what Exhibit A is?

A. This is a -- this is the Public Records Log, this is an excerpt from it. It's not the entire log. But

14:37:26 10

14:37:06 5

1112

1

4

6

7

8

9

13

14

14:37:42 15

16

17

18

19

14:37:58 20

21

22

23

24

14:38:27 25

- 1 this is the log in which we would normally log
- 2 information into the system that we receive.
- 3 Q. And you mentioned that one of the things you do is
- 4 log in instructions from the attorneys into the log?
- 14:38:44 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. Where generally would those be put?
- 7 A. In the comment area.
- 8 Q. Okay.
  - A. As such are you seeking for specifics?
- 14:38:56 10 Q. No, I don't want you to tell me what the attorneys
  - 11 | told you to put into the log, but I just want to know
  - 12 exactly where the attorney instructions would go.
  - 13 A. The instructions would go in the comment area.
- Q. And you mentioned this is not a complete log, this is just an excerpt from a handful of rows from the log.
  - 16 Is that --
  - 17 A. Yes. That is correct.
  - 18 Q. Okay. And if you could flip to the second page of that document.
- 14:39:22 20 A. Okay.
  - 21 Q. That earlier public records request that we looked
  - at, Exhibit E, that was public records request 15-2220.
  - Do you see that there on the second page of
  - 24 Exhibit A?
- 14:39:39 25 A. Yes, I do.

- 1 Q. And that's something that then in turn gets put into the log, the information from 15-2220?
- 3 A. Right. Any special instructions, yes.
  - Q. Now, is this log from Exhibit A, is this still maintained by the City or does the City still use that log?
- 7 A. No, we do not.

6

14:39:57 5

14:40:09 10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

14:40:36 20

14:40:22 15

- 8 Q. You've gone to a new system?
  - A. Right. It's a system called Gov Q and A where we enter the request into the system. The system automatically gives it a reference number which previously would have been a public records request number.

Based on how the request is submitted, it may go directly to the department, or we will have to assign it directly from the Law Department.

- Q. And there was some testimony last week that the log, at least as of 2016, was maintained on SharePoint, is that accurate?
- A. That is accurate.
- Q. And SharePoint, just as my understanding, and correct me if I'm wrong, is that was a document such that it could be accessed and then updated?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 14:40:45 25 Q. And then everybody would be able to see the updates

1 at the time they occurred? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Such that you weren't updating --Q. 4 MR. CHANDRA: Objection to leading. 14:40:54 5 THE COURT: We can move it along. 6 MR. VANCE: Sure. 7 THE COURT: There's no jury, so let's go. 8 BY MR. VANCE: 9 So is the case then that the log was constantly 14:41:02 10 being updated, is that right? 11 That is correct. Α. 12 Now, are you familiar with Brian Bardwell? Q. 13 Yes. Α. 14 Ο. And who is he? 14:41:10 15 Brian Bardwell was the intern that came to the City Α. of Cleveland to work in the summer. 16 17 Ο. And do you remember what summer that was? 18 Α. 2016. 19 And what involvement, if any, did you have with his 14:41:29 20 internship at the City? 21 The attorneys that are responsible for assigning 22 the interns had asked if he could help in any way with 23 public records, and I said yes. 2.4 And we assigned him to work on the older

requests to see if they were open, closed, and what the

14:41:50 25

- 1 current status was on them.
- 2 Q. So did you actually direct him as to an assignment
- 3 | relative to that?

14:41:59 5

14:42:12 10

11

12

13

14

19

21

22

23

14:42:42 20

14:42:29 15

- A. Once the attorney gave him the assignment for him to come to Public Records, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And what was it that you asked him 5 specifically to work on?
- 8 A. To start on the far drawers that were behind my 9 area, to start on '15s.

And he would take a box of records at a time and go to his area and work on them to find out which ones were open, closed, or needed additional records from other departments.

- Q. And when you say "'15s," do you mean 2015 requests?
- A. 2015 and 2016, if he was able to get to it.
- 16 Q. And did you at any point in time ever direct him to review 2014 requests?
- 18 A. No.
  - Q. What about earlier requests, for example, 2012 or 2013 public records requests, did you ever direct him to do anything with those?
  - A. Those requests have been boxed up and put into the subbasement.
- Q. Okay. So the filing -- you referenced filing cabinets that were by your area, you said?

1 I have a cubicle area. The '14, 2014 records were Α. 2 in my area in a file cabinet. The '15s and '16s were 3 behind or, I should say, in front of my cubicle area 4 against the wall. 5 So the actual '12 and '13, they weren't even in 14:43:08 6 those filing cabinets? 7 No, sir. Α. How is it that you know -- besides the fact that 8 Ο. 9 that's what you directed him to do -- that he was working 14:43:24 10 on the 2015 public records requests? 11 Say that again, please. Α. 12 Besides you -- you directed him to work on the 2015 13 requests? 14 Α. That is correct. 14:43:33 15 Which you testified to. Q. 16 How else do you know that that's what it 17 was that he was doing? 18 Α. I would see him take the files directly from the drawers to his area. I'm assuming that's what he was 19 14:43:45 20 working on since those were the instructions. 21 Other than that, I happened to be there one 22 evening and I walked around my area and I saw him 23 standing at the 2015s with a notepad. 2.4 Okay. And do you recall what was part of that 14:44:03 25 notepad at all?

1 I could see the listing of numbers and I could tell Α. 2 that they were '15s, and he was making checkmarks. 3 Did you even ever discuss the '14 or the '12 or the Ο. 4 '13 requests? 14:44:14 5 I do not recall any conversation about '12 or '13. 6 He did ask me about the '14s, and I told 7 him those were in my area and he did not have to concern 8 hisself with them. So if Mr. Bardwell submitted a declaration that 14:44:32 10 said, "I was assigned to review records requests from 2014 and earlier to determine whether any closed requests 11 12 of 2014 and earlier were still sitting in the City's 13 filing cabinets for open requests," do you consider that 14 to be an accurate statement? 14:44:46 15 No. Α. 16 And same, similar question, if Mr. Bardwell 0. 17 testified that he was responsible for reviewing the 2012 or the 2013 public records requests, would you consider 18 19 that to be an accurate statement? 14:45:00 20 Α. No. 21 Now, those filing cabinets that you referenced, 22 what exactly is it that are included in those? What --23 are they open, closed files? 2.4 A combination of the two. Α. 14:45:13 25 They're either open or they're still -- or

1 they're closed.

When we receive a public records request, we make a folder for it, and all the correspondence pertaining to that request goes into the folder.

That's correspondence between the departments, the correspondence between support staff and attorneys, correspondence from attorneys to support staff, any issues or concerns that need to be made in regards to the request based on the Law Department's involvement.

When there's disagreements in regards to what is released and what is not released based on the records that are received from the departments, they — there's notations in there because I'm normally copied on all the correspondence that goes on within the Law Department pertaining to a public records request so that I know where everything stands at that time.

- Q. So if Mr. DeCrane's public records request from 2015 was still open, would that have been something that would have been in the filing cabinets there?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And if we could flip to Exhibit B, what is Exhibit B, Ms. Roberson?
- A. Exhibit B is an e-mail that I received from our IT person in the Law Department providing me a listing of

14:45:27 5

6

7

2

3

4

8

14:45:42 10

11

13

14

12

14:46:00 15

16

17

18

19

14:46:19 20

21

22

23

14:46:49 25

- 1 what was on the computer of Mr. Bardwell that he was
- 2 working on, and the documents that were on that computer.
- 3 Q. And there's, by my count, four attachments as part
- 4 of that e-mail, is that right?
- 14:47:09 5 A. That is correct.
  - 6 Q. Okay. So what I'd like to do is let's walk through
  - 7 those attachments one by one. And they're here as part
  - 8 of Exhibit B.
  - 9 A. There's one -- oh.
- 14:47:21 10 Q. So the first, the first attachment, it's just
  - 11 titled "15.docx"?
  - 12 A. Yes.
  - 13 Q. You should have a blue piece of paper separating
  - 14 each one so --
- 14:47:30 15 A. Oh, okay. Okay.
  - 16 Q. That first document there, tell me what that is or
  - what you see there.
  - 18 A. This is a listing of public record numbers that was
  - apparently generated by Mr. Bardwell.
- This is the -- this is what I saw him at
  - 21 the computer -- at the file cabinet working on.
  - 22 Q. Okay. So when you saw him at the file, the '15
  - file cabinet, you saw him with a list --
  - A. That looked like this, yes, and he was checking off
- things, checking off numbers, apparently.

1 I couldn't tell what he was writing 2 specifically, but I do recall seeing this as -- from a 3 glance, the numbers. 4 And I see at least beginning with the first page, 15-1 all the way to the end it's 15-3048. And they 14:48:24 5 6 appear to generally go sequentially. 7 And I'm hoping maybe just for the Court's benefit you could explain the -- how the Public Records 8 9 Department numbers public records requests. 14:48:42 10 Α. We start off with the year and 001 and forward. 11 Okay. So all these references here to 15-001, Ο. 12 15-0037, those are all -- that 15 represents 2015? 13 That is correct. 14 Ο. Okay. If you go to the next attachment, it's 14:49:08 15 titled -- and this would be after the blue piece of 16 paper -- "MCO text final." 17 Α. The next one --18 It says "Policy matters Ohio" at the top? Q. 19 Yes. Α. 14:49:23 20 Is it your understanding that that is the MCO text Q. 21 final document that was sent to you by Mr. Guzman? 22 Yes, it was. Α. 23 Okay. And then if you'd go to the next attachment Ο. 24 which is Mr. Guzman's e-mail, Exhibit B, PRR log.xlsx. 14:49:51 25 Do you see the document there that's after

1 that blue sheet of paper? 2 Α. Okay. 3 What is that document, the PRR Log? Ο. 4 The information, some of the information definitely Α. looks like it came from the Public Records Log, but it 14:50:13 5 6 looks like it had been manipulated. 7 There's some information that we never put in there like that. 8 Okay. So the document that's attached as part of 14:50:29 10 the binder, that's not the complete Public Records Log --11 No, it is not. Α. 12 -- that Mr. Guzman sent you, right? Q. 13 No, it's an excerpt from the log. Α. 14 Q. That's a --14:50:36 15 MR. CHANDRA: Your Honor, I just want to 16 lodge an objection based on the Rule of Completeness. We don't know if this is the entire 17 18 document or excerpts from the document. 19 THE COURT: No, we don't, but she can 14:50:48 20 answer that question. 21 So go ahead. 22 BY MR. VANCE: 23 Ms. Roberson, the document that we're discussing Ο. 24 now, is this the complete Public Records Log that

14:50:56 25

Mr. Guzman sent you?

This is not a complete log. It is excerpts from it 1 Α. 2 but, I mean, the log was -- this is, what's in this 3 binder is an excerpt. 4 He did send me the entire log. 14:51:12 5 Q. Okay. 6 MR. CHANDRA: I would like to relodge that 7 objection, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: The objection's noted. Go ahead. 9 14:51:20 10 BY MR. VANCE: 11 That actual log itself, to print something like 12 that out, that would be a sizable, sizable document, 13 would it not? 14 Α. Yes, it would be. 14:51:28 15 And just so we can get a sense, the document that Q. 16 you're looking at, it's got the rows, the row numbers on the side there. 17 18 You see that? 19 Α. Yes. 14:51:38 20 And then it's got the various columns? Q. 21 Yes. Α. 22 So one column or one row actually tracks over the Q. 23 course of a handful of sheets of paper? 24 Α. Yes.

So each sheet of paper just reflects the different

14:51:56 25

Q.

1 columns still --2 THE COURT: Let her testify. 3 MR. VANCE: Yes, sure. 4 BY MR. VANCE: So just explain that a little bit more in detail 14:52:03 5 6 how exactly this public records -- what exactly is the 7 information on each of these pages here and how they 8 correlate. Okay. I'm saying off of this log here that's here, 14:52:16 10 it says a public records number, it says a type, it says 11 requester and request date, request -- date received, the 12 request description, department, division, division contact assigned to, if there was a Court contact, if we 13 14 were going to the Clerk of Court's office; comments, 14:52:43 15 status, modified, modified by, and item type and path, 16 which everything from -- I should say into Q, the 17 computer designates that information once you enter it 18 into the system. 19 But this does not look like the log in its 14:53:06 20 entirety from the City of Cleveland. We don't have a 21 type that we have put in here between the PR number and 22 requester. 23 So you believe that must have been something that Ο. 24 was added at some point?

14:53:23 25

Α.

Yes.

1 Okay. And that particular log, if you go back to Ο. 2 the first page, do you understand whether or not that log 3 that was sent to you by Mr. Guzman included the public 4 records request 15-2220? 14:53:44 5 Yes, it does. 6 If you could flip to the last document there, after 7 the blue sheet of paper. 8 Α. Okay. What is your understanding of that document, 14:54:03 10 Ms. Roberson? 11 This is a document that I received from our IT Α. 12 person of the information that was on Brian Bardwell's 13 computer. It is totally -- I mean, it does have 14 14:54:20 15 information from the Public Records Log, but is totally 16 different. It looks like it had been reorganized and 17 manipulated for his purposes. 18 Q. Okay. 19 MR. CHANDRA: Your Honor, objection again 14:54:32 20 based on Rule of Completeness. THE COURT: Well, let's forget about the 21 22 Rule of Completeness and talk about whether it's 23 accurate, Mr. Vance. 2.4 I mean, she's testifying that this somehow 14:54:45 25 is changed and she testified about other documents not in

1 the form that she remembers them, so is there an 2 explanation for that? 3 MR. VANCE: Sure. 4 BY MR. VANCE: 14:54:53 5 As to the last document, Ms. Roberson, when you're 6 talking about that looking different, are you talking about that looking different from what? 7 It looks different from "type," "key." It says 8 Α. "response sent" is usually over there as a comment, not 14:55:14 10 as a column. 11 It has the "requester's name" and "date" and the "date received." 12 13 It has "request," but I don't know what the 14 "key" indicates. I don't know what the "type" indicates or the "response sent," which doesn't have 14:55:33 15 16 anything -- clarifications in there. It just has a zero 17 in the columns and an R somewhere, in some of the 18 columns. 19 Okay. Just so we're on the same page, you're 14:55:45 20 talking about the final attachment there, correct? 21 The one -- yes. The final --Α. 22 Okay. Q. 23 There's another -- it -- yes, it is the final 24 attachment. 14:55:59 25 THE COURT: Ms. Roberson, Ms. Roberson, my

1	question is does it contain the same information that you
2	would find if it were in the order that it should be?
3	THE WITNESS: It does not contain all the
4	information.
14:56:12 5	WELL, it does contain the information, but
6	it contains more information than what's in our log,
7	which means he put additional information in there.
8	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Vance.
9	MR. VANCE: I think I appreciate the
14:56:25 10	confusion here.
11	MR. CHANDRA: Objection to the assertion
12	without any foundation, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Let's have Mr. Vance try and
14	clear this up for us.
14:56:31 15	MR. VANCE: Sure.
16	BY MR. VANCE:
17	Q. It seems as though are you comparing this document
18	to another document in terms of the content that's in it?
19	A. Yes, I'm comparing it to the public records request
14:56:41 20	that's maintained by the Law Department.
21	Q. So the actual Public Records Log, an example of
22	which was Exhibit A that we discussed?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. So the question then I guess I have for you is the
14:56:52 25	attachment, what's here with the blacked out sheet that

- 1 you're looking at, the last attachment --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- is that a complete copy of what was sent to you
- 4 by Mr. Guzman?
- 14:57:00 5 A. Yes, it was.
  - 6 Q. Okay. And that last attachment, that -- tell me it
  - 7 appears to address -- you tell me what public records
  - 8 request that last attachment addresses, if you would.
  - 9 A. What this last --
- 14:57:22 10 Q. The last, correct.
  - 11 A. What does it address?
  - 12 Q. Yes. What public records request specifically.
  - I don't need all of them, but is it a
  - certain year or --
- 14:57:33 15 A. It's not a response. It's the year 2015, but it's
  - not a response that the Law Department submitted to
  - anyone.
  - 18 Q. Okay. But the document itself appears to include
  - 19 the 2015 public records requests?
- 14:57:43 20 A. Yes.
  - 21 Q. And do you know if the 15-2220 request submitted on
  - 22 Mr. DeCrane's behalf is included on this particular
  - 23 attachment?
  - 24 A. Yes, it is.
- 14:58:25 25 Q. And is it your understanding that Mr. Bardwell had

1 this on his computer, the computer he was working on? 2 Α. That is correct. 3 MR. CHANDRA: Objection. Foundation, Your 4 Honor. 14:58:32 5 THE COURT: You know, it's not necessary. 6 Overruled. 7 BY MR. VANCE: If you could flip to Exhibit F, please, 8 Ms. Roberson. 14:59:05 10 Could you tell me what Exhibit F is, 11 please? 12 Exhibit F is a copy of a request from our Public 13 Records Log from the year 2000 -- entered as 2001 which 14 indicates that it's the request number, which at that 14:59:25 15 time we did not indicate it by year, the requester, the 16 date of the request, the date received, the request 17 description, the department, the status, and whether it 18 was open, closed or hold -- on hold. 19 And how about Exhibit G, could you tell me what 14:59:44 20 Exhibit G is, please? 21 Exhibit G is a copy of a sheet from the Public 22 Records Log from 2004. 23 Everything's been redacted so there's no 24 details for me to refer to except at the bottom it says 15:00:10 25 "Attorney/Client privilege, work product of counsel."

1 Do you know why the difference between the 2001 and Q. 2 the 2004 log? 3 That was by the direction of the Law Department Α. 4 director at the time. 15:00:25 5 And do you recall which Law Director that was? The "for attorney/client privilege," Director 6 7 Chandra Subodh -- Subodh Chandra, I'm sorry. 8 So it's your understanding that Mr. Chandra had Ο. 9 directed the Public Records Department to make the 15:00:46 10 entirety of the Public Records Log attorney/client 11 privilege, work product of counsel? 12 MR. CHANDRA: Object, Your Honor. 13 Yes. Α. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15:00:54 15 BY MR. VANCE: 16 And these, these logs, I mean, explain to me 0. 17 what -- so you have Exhibit A, Exhibit F and Exhibit G 18 are all logs. 19 Α. You said A? 15:01:04 20 Exhibit A, the first one we went over. Ο. 21 Okay. Α. 22 Exhibit F and Exhibit G. 0. 23 You've described all of them as the Public 24 Records Log?

15:01:22 25

Α.

Correct.

1 Are they all just basically the same document, but Q. 2 different years? 3 They are the same document, different years, that Α. 4 is correct. The only difference is one's 15:01:35 5 6 attorney/client privilege and the others are not. 7 And if you could flip to Exhibit D for me for the Ο. 8 moment. Did you say B? Α. 15:01:49 10 Q. D as in --11 Α. Dog. 12 -- David, dog. Q. 13 David, okay. Α. 14 Okay. 15:01:56 15 Could you tell me what Exhibit D is, please? Q. 16 Exhibit D is a response from a public records Α. 17 request that we received from Brian Bardwell. 18 We responded on October 6th, 2017. He had 19 asked for a copy of the Public Records Log, and it's our 15:02:21 20 response to him. 21 And did you provide him the entirety of the Public 22 Records Log? 23 We did not provide the entirety of the Public Α. 24 Records Log. 15:02:32 25 We provided everything up until comments

1 based on that information being of a confidential nature 2 to the Law Department. 3 Generally speaking, can you tell me a little bit Ο. 4 about maybe what confidential information may be included 15:02:51 5 in the log? 6 Okay. On the log, there's times that we receive 7 requests, and the Chiefs of the Law Department will look at the request and -- or I will even know based on the 8 9 nature of the request that it's a potential litigation. 15:03:08 10 So if it's a potential litigation, that is notated in the 11 log. 12 If there are special instructions that are 13 provided to the support staff in regards to that request, 14 we indicate that in the log as well. Those are comments 15:03:26 15 that go back from support staff to the attorneys. 16 If the attorneys have a disagreement in 17 regards to what is considered responsive and not 18 responsive, there's communication that goes on and we 19 make those notations in the log where it might be a 15:03:44 20 communication from the Director to one of the Chiefs. 21 And there's constant conversation back and 22 There might be conversation in regards to the 23 drafts that are prepared. We have -- normally have more 24 than one person look at a draft that might be from an 15:04:07 25 attorney.

1 When you say "draft," draft what? Q. 2 A draft response to a public records request. Α. 3 And there's a decision of what is being 4 considered, something to produce as responsive versus 15:04:20 5 what should not be produced as responsive, and sometimes 6 the attorneys agree and they talk about it sometimes via 7 e-mail, sometimes verbally, but they'll make a notation to us that they'll get back to us at a certain time. 8 9 And the departments also will stress their 15:04:38 10 concerns regarding any public record request responsive documents that they're forwarding if they feel that 11 12 there's a major concern regarding it. 13 And you referred to special instructions. Ο. 14 Who would special instructions be coming 15:04:51 15 from? 16 Various attorneys in the Law Department or, I Α. 17 should say, in regards to that request, the attorney that 18 is assigned to review that request. 19 And the special instructions are given to you? Q. 15:05:04 20 Α. Yes. 21 Or to somebody on your staff? Q. 22 That is correct. Α. 23 And normally if they put it in as an e-mail 24 and since there's more than one support staff, we just

want everybody to be aware of those special notations in

15:05:13 25

1	case they happen to come across that request because
2	somebody might be out of the office or they received a
3	follow-up phone call from someone.
4	MR. VANCE: I have no further questions at
15:05:31 5	this time.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Vance.
7	Mr. Chandra.
8	MR. CHANDRA: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF KIMBERLY ROBERSON
15:05:56 10	BY MR. CHANDRA:
11	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Roberson.
12	A. Good afternoon.
13	Q. We're well-acquainted from our work together years
14	ago.
15:06:10 15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Right?
17	Okay. You would agree with me that in the
18	notations field for the public records request 15, I
19	believe it is, 2220, the one regarding the subject matter
15:06:43 20	of Sean DeCrane, that there is no confidential
21	information from attorneys contained in the field that
22	can be used for that purpose, correct?
23	A. I could not say that unless I actually saw the
24	field.
15:07:00 25	Q. Okay. So as you sit here in court today, you

1	cannot tell Judge Boyko that there is any confidential or
2	attorney/client privilege or attorney work product
3	information related to the Sean DeCrane-related public
4	records request that is a part of the Public Records Log
15:07:27 5	that you contend Brian Bardwell accessed, correct?
6	A. I can't say that.
7	Q. Okay. Am I going too fast for the court reporter?
8	All right. So I'd like to turn you, if I
9	could, to the exhibit binder with the numbers in it. You
15:07:57 10	should have two exhibit binders in front of you. We're
11	going to get you the other one here.
12	MR. VANCE: Could we also get a copy,
13	please?
14	MR. CHANDRA: I think it's the one you got
15:08:10 15	last week.
16	MR. VANCE: We never got one last week.
17	MR. CHANDRA: Oh, okay. I don't think I
18	have one. There you go.
19	MR. VANCE: Thank you.
15:08:17 20	BY MR. CHANDRA:
21	Q. Okay. So it's, I think, the same thing as in
22	yours, but if you could take a look at
23	THE COURT: Be nice if I had one, too.
24	MR. CHANDRA: Oh, I'm sorry, Judge.
15:08:49 25	Just a moment, Your Honor, I'm sorry.

1	What we can do, I think, is work off of the
2	defense exhibit binder.
3	Okay. All right.
4	Can I put it on the Elmo, Judge? Sorry
15:09:36 5	about that.
6	THE COURT: The Elmo?
7	MR. CHANDRA: Yeah. For some reason we're
8	short on the binder here.
9	THE COURT: If you need this for the Elmo,
10	go ahead.
11	MR. CHANDRA: Yeah, that would be great.
12	THE COURT: As long as I can see it on the
13	screen, go ahead.
14	MR. CHANDRA: Now my binder is gone, my
15:10:06 15	tabs are gone now.
16	BY MR. CHANDRA:
17	Q. Okay. So what I'd like to do, Ms. Roberson, is
18	show you the declaration that you submitted to the Court
19	previously, or at least that the City's counsel submitted
15:10:24 20	on your behalf.
21	And Elmos have changed a little bit since I
22	last used one.
23	THE CLERK: It will focus by itself.
24	MR. CHANDRA: Oh, it will. All right.
15:10:51 25	Okay.

- 1 BY MR. CHANDRA:
- 2 Q. So if you could take a look at this, and you signed
- 3 this under penalty of perjury, right? You did this under
- 4 oath?
- 15:10:58 5 A. Yes.
  - 6 Q. Okay. So if you take a look at Paragraph 9 of this
  - 7 document, and is it going to auto focus?
  - 8 Can you read it okay? Seems a little out
  - 9 of focus.
- There we go. Got it.
  - 11 THE CLERK: Leave it on auto focus.
  - 12 MR. CHANDRA: Okay.
  - 13 BY MR. CHANDRA:
  - 14 Q. Could you read that paragraph out loud, please?
- 15:11:26 15 A. Sure. "During his internship at the City,
  - Mr. Bardwell became privy to confidential information,
  - including, but not limited to, how long it typically
  - takes the City to respond to public records requests, the
  - 19 Department of Law's involvement with the requests, and
- the manner in which the City processes requests."
  - 21 Q. Okay. So did you come up with the verbiage for
  - 22 Paragraph 9? Did you draft this verbiage?
  - 23 A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Okay. And fair to say that this was drafted for
- 15:11:59 25 you by the City's lawyers?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. All right. So let's take each of the things in
- 3 turn that you say are included, but not limited to, in
- 4 this confidential information.
- 15:12:13 5 A. Okay.
  - 6 Q. Now, the Public Records Log that the City
  - 7 maintains, includes a field for when the record was
  - 8 received, correct -- or when the records request was
  - 9 received, correct?
- 15:12:30 10 A. Yes.
  - 11 Q. And it also contains a date field for when the
  - 12 public records request was complied with, if it was
  - complied with, correct?
  - 14 A. That is correct.
- 15:12:41 15 Q. And that time span then naturally would include the
  - 16 time period that it took for the City to respond to the
  - public records request, correct?
  - 18 A. Yes.
  - 19 Q. And from time to time, people, including -- you saw
- 15:12:59 20 the example of Brian Bardwell making a records request
  - 21 | for the log.
  - 22 From time to time, people do request the
  - 23 Public Records Log as to whatever it is you are willing
  - 24 to give them, right? People request that?
- 15:13:12 25 A. People do request the log.

1 And that log, when you comply with it, does include 0. 2 the date of a request and the date of compliance, 3 correct? 4 It indicates if it was open or closed. Α. 15:13:29 5 It doesn't always have the date that it was 6 responded to. 7 Okay. But would you agree with me that there is Ο. nothing secret about when the public records request was 8 received by the Law Department, correct? 15:13:44 10 Α. There is nothing privy, no. 11 Okay. And there's nothing secret about when it is Ο. 12 that that particular request was complied with, correct? 13 It depends upon the information, additional 14 information that may have been in that comment box. 15:14:01 15 Right. And I'm not asking about that. Q. 16 Α. Okay. 17 Okay? I'm just asking about when that particular 18 request is considered closed by the City. 19 When it is considered closed by the City, we could Α. 15:14:14 20 tell you that it's closed without providing any of the 21 comments there. 22 No, and I understand. I'm not asking about the Ο. 23 comments. 24 I'm asking about the column and the fields 15:14:24 25 that you just testified to about when the public records

1 request was closed. 2 Can we agree that that is not confidential 3 information? 4 It is not confidential information. 15:14:37 5 Okay. So let's turn then -- and so, for example, 6 if a media organization were -- just take this as a 7 hypothetical -- a media organization were interested in 8 writing a story about how long it is that the City 9 typically takes to respond to public records requests, it 15:14:58 10 would be able to obtain public records from the City, 11 including potentially the Public Records Log, to try to 12 make that determination and calculate those numbers over 13 a span of time. 14 Would you agree with that? 15:15:13 15 Not in its entirety. Α. 16 Right. But at least as to the narrow issue of when Ο. 17 records requests came in and when they were completed, 18 according to the City's own records, correct? 19 We process the request, and when we complete a Α. 15:15:31 20 request, we make notations as we go along. 21 It doesn't -- it doesn't maintain history. 22 What --Q. 23 THE COURT: Ms. Roberson, you're -- I don't 2.4 think you're addressing the question. 15:15:44 25 Let's talk about dates.

1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	THE COURT: You have a date that the record
3	is requested.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15:15:49 5	THE COURT: And then you have a date that
6	it is considered closed.
7	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
8	THE COURT: Okay. I believe what
9	Mr. Chandra is asking is that is not confidential, the
15:15:58 10	date request, the date closed, is that correct?
11	THE WITNESS: That is correct. However,
12	there's no field. It just says "Date closed."
13	THE COURT: Understood.
14	Mr. Chandra.
15:16:09 15	MR. CHANDRA: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	BY MR. CHANDRA:
17	Q. All right. So moving on to the next item here, the
18	Department of Law's involvement with the requests, you'd
19	agree with me that it's no secret that at the City of
15:16:23 20	Cleveland, the process for facilitating responses to
21	public records requests is housed within the City of
22	Cleveland's Law Department, correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And we've already established you're not an
15:16:36 25	attorney, correct?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And the function that you perform does not require
- 3 you to exercise legal judgment in any way, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 15:16:47 5 Q. All right. And so the fact that the Department of
  - 6 Law is involved with the requests at the City of
  - 7 | Cleveland is a matter of at least public knowledge to
  - 8 people who -- most of the people who send in public
  - 9 records requests to the City.
- 15:17:05 10 Fair enough?
  - 11 A. Somewhat.
  - 12 Q. Okay. In other words, some people do send requests
  - directly to a department or a division, right, trying to
  - bypass the City of Cleveland Law Department?
- 15:17:18 15 A. They try, but it doesn't always work.
  - 16 Q. Okay.
  - 17 A. But they do try.
  - 18 Q. Let's make sure we don't talk over each other --
  - 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. -- so that the court reporter can get a good
  - 21 transcript.
  - 22 And in addition, the fact that some lawyers
  - 23 sometimes -- let me rephrase.
  - The fact that sometimes Assistant Law
- Directors are involved in giving legal advice as to

1 whether or not certain records should be produced, the 2 fact that lawyers are sometimes involved in that is also not a secret to people who send in public records 3 4 requests on a regular basis, right? 15:18:00 5 We do not refer requesters to the attorneys that 6 are assigned to review those records. 7 Well, sometimes those attorneys get involved, say, 0. in mediation processes at the Supreme Court of Ohio or in 8 9 Congress --15:18:19 10 Α. That -- I'm sorry. Go ahead. 11 I'm sorry, did you say they have? Ο. 12 Uhm-uhm. I was letting you finish. Α. 13 Okay. Why don't we just stop there? Q. 14 Α. Okay. 15:18:29 15 Did you hear the question? Q. 16 No, I did not. Α. 17 Q. All right. Let me rephrase then. 18 Sometimes Assistant Law Directors are 19 involved in mediation processes, either at the Ohio 15:18:41 20 Supreme Court or in the Ohio Court of Claims, the Court 21 of Common Pleas here in Cuyahoga County, or the Eighth 22 District, correct? 23 Α. Yes. 2.4 And that wouldn't be a secret to the litigants on

the other side of those cases, right, the involvement of

15:18:56 25

- 1 the Law Department?
- 2 A. (Witness nods).
- 3 Q. All right. And from time to time when you and the
- 4 other people in the Public Records Department -- and by
- 15:19:08 5 | the way, all three of you are nonlawyers, right?
  - 6 A. Correct.
  - 7 Q. And all three of you sometimes field phone calls
  - 8 from people who have requested public records asking
  - 9 where their records are, right?
- 15:19:22 10 A. Correct.
  - 11 Q. And from time to time, you tell them that attorneys
  - in the Law Department are reviewing those documents for
  - potential redactions or as to whether those records
  - should be produced, correct?
- 15:19:35 15 A. I will tell them that it is in the reviewing
  - 16 process in the Law Department.
  - 17 Q. Okay. So again, that's not a secret or
  - 18 confidential, correct?
  - 19 A. Correct.
- 15:19:50 20 Q. And this last point, "The manner in which the City
  - 21 processes requests," from Paragraph 9 of your
  - declaration, you see that?
  - 23 A. Yes.
  - Q. Now, when you're referring to the manner, what are
- you referring to in that sentence?

1 Do you know? 2 Okay. The manner in which the City processes the 3 requests, meaning the Law Department's involvement in 4 that request. So it's the same thing that we just discussed? 15:20:20 5 6 Α. Um-hmm. 7 Okay. And in general, there's nothing confidential Ο. about that, right? 8 For the most part, I would say. 9 Α. Okay. All right. And I'd like you, if you would, 15:20:32 10 Ο. 11 to look at the exhibit on -- I'm going to show you this 12 news article that ran on March 1st, 2018 and this is Exhibit 24, Tab 24. 13 14 MR. CHANDRA: And it's actually in the 15:21:10 15 Court record, Your Honor, as Page ID Number 2497 and 16 document 57-23. 17 BY MR. CHANDRA: 18 So this news article that ran, did you wind up Ο. 19 seeing this article that ran about the controversy in 15:21:24 20 this case over the secrecy of public records? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. And if you look toward the bottom here and Ο. 23 the paragraph, could you please read the paragraph here 24 that's bracketed that says, "Law Director Barbara 15:21:45 25 Langhenry"?

1 "Law Director Barbara Langhenry said that she would Α. 2 not agree that all information was confidential. 3 records, though, include some notes from attorneys and 4 communications with clients that she would defend as 15:21:55 5 private." 6 Okay. So and you have no reason as you sit here 7 today to contradict Ms. Langhenry's assertion, correct? 8 Α. No. All right. So I'd like you to take a look at the 15:22:14 10 exhibit that you were shown earlier by Mr. Vance, Exhibit 11 E, and I'm going to put that on the screen as well. 12 You remember looking at this document? 13 Yes. Α. 14 Ο. Now, what's the date on this public records 15:22:40 15 request? 16 August 25th, 2015. Α. 17 And your handwriting labeled it as PR number, Ο. 18 public records request number 15-2220, correct? 19 Α. Yes. Now, you testified earlier that you would number 15:22:55 20 21 the public records requests in numerical order as they 22 were received, correct? 23 As I received them. Α. 2.4 All right. So it would be fair to infer from the

face of this document that by around August 25th, 2015 or

15:23:08 25

1 thereabouts when you received this, that by that point in 2 2015 there were already 2,220 public records requests 3 received in the Cleveland Law Department or by the City 4 of Cleveland, correct? 15:23:29 5 Α. Yes. 6 All right. And if you look at the requester's Ο. 7 name, who is the requester? W. Craiq Bashein. 8 Α. Okay. And that's B-A-S-H-E-I-N, and the company Q. 15:23:44 10 name is Bashein and Bashein, correct? 11 That is correct. Α. 12 So there is nothing there to indicate that this 13 request is coming from Sean DeCrane, at least on those 14 fields, correct? 15:23:58 15 That's correct. Α. 16 And the Public Records Log of the City of Cleveland Ο. 17 would also contain the name of the requester as -- it should contain the name as W. Craig Bashein, correct? 18 19 Α. Yes. 15:24:15 20 All right. So would you agree with me that from 21 the face of the request, you cannot tell, as the Public 22 Records Administrator for the City of Cleveland, that 23 this request is submitted on behalf of Sean DeCrane, with 24 this counsel, as adverse to the City regarding Sean

15:24:41 25

DeCrane, correct?

- 1 A. That's not something that I look for. I mean, 2 that's not any of my business.
  - Q. Okay. You don't care who the requester is, correct?
- A. Right. The requester can ask for anything that they want.
  - Q. Okay. And from time to time you might get lawyers or law firms asking for public documents where they don't represent the people who are named in the request, correct?
  - 11 A. That is correct.

3

4

7

8

9

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

15:25:38 20

15:25:23 15

15:25:01 10

- Q. All right. And you testified a few moments ago that by around August 25th, 2015, you already had 2,220 requests, but can we agree that many of those requests would often contain numerous subparts to them so it wouldn't be just for one document or one category of documents, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So as a consequence, you could be looking at many thousands -- not just 2,220 by August 25th of 2015 -- many thousands of categories of documents that would have come in already by that point, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And I want to ask you just by way of background a little bit of what -- about what was going on at the

- 1 Cleveland Law Department at this time.
- This time period, August 25th, 2015 --
- 3 which I think based on the time frame you've testified
- 4 about would have been about a year or so before Brian
- 15:26:15 5 Bardwell was an intern, correct?
  - 6 A. Yes.
  - 7 Q. Okay. So by August 25th, 2015, about how long was
  - 8 this, to the best of your recollection, after the
  - 9 shooting death of Tamir Rice?
- Do you recall that being in or around
  - 11 November of 2014?
  - 12 A. Yes.
  - 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that from November,
  - 14 | 2014 forward, even through this time period, that the
- 15:26:50 15 City of Cleveland was bombarded with public records
  - requests, not just locally, but from around the world
  - 17 related to that case?
  - 18 A. Yes.
  - 19 Q. So is it fair to say that in this time period,
- 15:27:02 20 there was an unusually high number of public records
  - 21 requests that was out of the ordinary from what you had
  - 22 experienced up to that point in your career at the City?
  - 23 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that in addition to
- receiving those requests, that you as the Public Records

1 Administrator and the other Public Records staff that 2 work with you, were receiving numerous follow-up 3 telephone calls from the requesters related to the Tamir 4 Rice case demanding compliance with the records requests? 15:27:38 5 Α. Yes. 6 And those weren't just coming from City of 7 Cleveland media but were coming from all around the world, correct? 8 9 Α. Yes. 15:27:45 10 All right. So is it your sworn testimony that at Ο. 11 least by -- let's talk about August of 2015 -- that the 12 City of Cleveland was caught up with all of its public 13 records requests from 2012 and 2013 by August of 2015? 14 Α. The only way I would know that is to go back and look. 15:28:13 15 16 And no one -- well, let me withdraw that. Ο. 17 You've not done that as part of the 18 preparation for your testimony today, correct? 19 Α. No. 15:28:22 20 And can we also agree that by 2014 -- or by this 21 time frame, August of 2015, that all of the public 22 records requests that came in from 2014 were all 23 completely complied with? 24 Α. No, I can't say that either.

And can you -- are you also in a position to

15:28:43 25

Q.

1 testify under oath to Judge Boyko that by the summer of 2 2016, that all public records requests that came in from 3 2014 had been fully complied with by the City of 4 Cleveland Law Department? 15:29:01 5 No, they had not been. Okay. So it is fair to say that there would have 6 7 been a backlog? By the time Brian Bardwell became an intern 8 9 in the summer of 2016, there would have remained a 15:29:15 10 backlog of requests at least from 2014 that had not yet 11 been complied with, correct? 12 Α. Yes. And many of those related to the Tamir Rice case, 13 14 correct? 15:29:26 15 Possibly. Α. 16 Okay. Well, in fact, Ms. Roberson, you took leave Ο. 17 from the City. I'm not going to ask you for any 18 confidential health information, but I want to try to 19 establish a time frame here. 15:29:41 20 You took leave from the City at what point? 21 Approximately what month to what month? 22 December 9th, 2014 through May of 2015. Α. 23 Okay. So for that period that you were out Ο. 24 on -- that was a medical-related leave, is that correct? 15:30:03 25 Α. That is correct.

1 All right. In the time period that you left, is it Ο. 2 fair to say that the backlog that the City of Cleveland 3 was experiencing in complying to -- with the public 4 records requests that it had received already, continued to increase because you weren't there to facilitate it? 15:30:17 5 6 I'm not faulting you for that. 7 No. Α. But it's a fact, isn't it? 8 Q. 9 Α. There was a backlog, yes. 15:30:26 10 And that backlog actually increased as a result of Q. 11 your absence, correct? 12 Yes. Α. 13 Right. And when you returned from your medical 14 leave -- in the spring of 2015, you said it was? 15:30:38 15 Α. Yes. 16 -- the number of uncomplied with public records 17 requests had actually been greater than when you left, 18 correct? 19 Α. Yes. 15:30:50 20 All right. How much greater, approximately? 0. 21 And I'm not faulting you. I'm just asking 22 for facts. 23 I don't know. Α. 24 MR. VANCE: Objection to this whole line of

questioning. At some point where are we going?

15:31:01 25

1	MR. CHANDRA: I'm getting there.
2	THE COURT: Could have been asking the same
3	thing on your end.
4	I'm allowing a lot more than really should
15:31:10 5	be coming in, but I want to see if anybody can tie it
6	together at the very end.
7	Overruled.
8	Go ahead, Mr. Chandra.
9	A. The reason I don't know that is because by me not
15:31:16 10	being there, the other staff members were working on
11	things that were most current, and that's the reason why
12	we needed assistance from an intern to assist in the
13	backlog of '15.
14	Q. Right. But also needed you needed assistance
15:31:31 15	for a backlog that remained from 2014 and 2016, isn't
16	that correct?
17	A. There wasn't as much in '14, and that was something
18	that I wanted to handle directly because I was there.
19	Q. And there was also a backlog for 2013 of uncomplied
15:31:46 20	public records requests, correct?
21	A. There may have been some.
22	Q. Okay. How many?
23	A. I don't know.
24	Q. And how about 2012?
15:31:52 25	A. I don't know.

- Q. But there was a backlog, right?
- 2 A. Normally we've gone back to the -- to the various
- 3 requesters and asked them if they were still interested
- 4 in those requests, responsive documents.
- 15:32:06 5 If they were not, we considered them closed
  - 6 so there may have been a backlog but we attempted to
  - 7 address them all.

1

- 8 Q. And, in fact, do you recall that you sent me such a
- 9 request, asking whether that a long-pending public
- records request, whether I still wanted compliance with
  - 11 it years later?
  - Do you recall that?
  - 13 A. Yeah, it wasn't me, but yes.
  - Q. Okay. And you recall the answer was yes?
- 15:32:26 15 A. Um-hmm.
  - 16 Q. Even though it was from years earlier?
  - 17 A. Um-hmm.
  - 18 Q. And the answer to that was yes, so that the record
  - 19 is clear?
- 15:32:35 20 A. Yes.
  - 21 Q. All right. So it would be fair to say that by the
  - 22 time Brian Bardwell arrived in the summer of 2016 to
  - assist you with the public records request compliance
  - 24 process -- which you asked him to do, correct?
- 15:32:54 25 A. I -- I accepted his help through the attorney that

- 1 asked me.
- 2 Q. Okay. And at that time there was a backlog of
- 3 uncomplied with public records requests going back to
- 4 2012 at least, correct?
- 15:33:14 5 A. I can't say that.
  - 6 Q. And you can't not say that either?
  - 7 A. And I can't not say that, but I'm not going -- it's
  - 8 under oath.
  - 9 I don't know.
- 15:33:23 10 Q. Okay.
  - 11 A. But I do know that there was '14s, but you're
  - 12 talking about '12 and '13s, that I -- I would have to go
  - 13 back and look at the records.
  - 14 Q. Okay. Would you agree that if there was such a
- backlog going back to 2012, it would have made no sense
  - logically in terms of the City's compliance with public
  - records requests obligations to simply ignore older
  - requests and skip ahead to 2015?
  - 19 A. Instructions are to address the '15s and 16s.
- I was willing to do the '14s because I was
  - 21 there and I had more involvement with those files.
  - 22 Q. What about the '13s and '12s?
  - 23 A. If they were there, we would have gone back to them
  - 24 as well.
- 25. 34:15 25 Q. All right. So meaning you would have assigned

- 1 | those to Brian Bardwell?
- 2 A. No, not necessarily.
- 3 Q. All right. So did you ever discuss with Brian
- 4 Bardwell the Sean DeCrane-related public records requests
- 15:34:34 5 from W. Craig Bashein specifically?
  - 6 A. No.
  - 7 Q. And so as you sit here testifying to Judge Boyko
  - 8 today, you have no information that would suggest that
  - 9 Brian Bardwell saw any confidential information related
- 15:34:53 10 to Sean DeCrane's public records request, isn't that
  - 11 correct?
  - 12 A. No, I cannot say that either because he took files
  - out of the file cabinets, took them to his area to go
  - 14 through those files --
- 15:35:05 15 Q. You --
  - 16 A. -- for the year of 2015.
  - 17 Q. You cannot testify from your personal knowledge
  - 18 that Brian Bardwell took the Sean DeCrane-related file
  - 19 from the 2015 filing cabinet and took it back to his
- 15:35:26 20 | desk, correct?
  - 21 A. I mean, I didn't see him do it.
  - 22 Q. So you have no personal knowledge that he did do
  - 23 it, correct?
  - A. He took all the files from the drawers so he had
- 15:35:34 25 | access to the --

1	THE COURT: You're not answering the
2	question, Ms. Roberson.
3	Do you have personal knowledge that he did
4	it, yes or no?
15:35:41 5	THE WITNESS: No, I did not see him
6	actually do it.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	BY MR. CHANDRA:
9	Q. All right. And in addition to that, you have no
15:35:46 10	information that he saw or accessed any privileged or
11	attorney work product information related to Sean
12	DeCrane, correct?
13	You have no personal knowledge of that,
14	correct?
15:36:00 15	A. Personal knowledge, no.
16	Q. All right. Now, let's turn to the Exhibit B from
17	the defense binder. And that is the e-mail from Alberto
18	Guzman.
19	Do you see that on your screen?
15:36:39 20	A. Yes, I do.
21	Q. Okay. So you have no personal knowledge that the
22	documents attached to Mr. Guzman's e-mail were actually
23	documents that were on the computer that Brian Bardwell
24	was working on when he was at the City?
15:37:00 25	You have no personal knowledge of that,

1 | correct?

6

7

8

9

11

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

15:37:54 20

15:37:44 15

15:37:30 10

- 2 A. Personal knowledge in what manner? Because I did
- 3 see the properties where it was created and modified by a
- 4 law clerk during that time frame.
- 15:37:14 5 Q. That's not my question, was it?
  - A. That's the personal knowledge that I have.
  - Q. You have personal knowledge -- my question was do you have personal knowledge that the documents attached to Mr. Guzman's e-mail here in the exhibit binder were documents that Brian Bardwell had on the computer he was
  - My question was about Brian Bardwell; not about law clerks generally.
    - A. Okay. Well, I can only go by the information that was given to me by the IT person.
  - 16 Q. Okay. So you --

working on?

- A. No, I don't have any personal knowledge myself.
  - Q. Okay. And so you also have no personal knowledge about whether, what's attached to this exhibit, is a complete rendition of all of the information that was on that computer, whoever it was used by?
  - A. The only document that I saw was the one that I had referred to earlier.
- 24 And I did see that.
- 15:38:07 25 Q. What document are you talking about?

1 The document that had the listing of all the '15 PR Α. 2 numbers. 3 Okay. Q. 4 I actually saw that in his hand. Α. 15:38:15 5 Okay. In whose hand? Ο. 6 In Brian Bardwell's hand. Α. 7 Okay. So this document that lists off public Ο. 8 records requests from 15-0001 forward, right, that is the 9 document you're talking about? 15:38:34 10 Α. Yes. 11 Now, how many days did Brian Bardwell work on 12 assisting you in helping catch up the City's compliance 13 with public records requests? 14 I have no idea. Α. 15:38:43 15 Do you have any basis for contradicting Q. 16 Mr. Bardwell's testimony if he testified that it was just 17 two or three days? 18 He would know. I wouldn't. Α. 19 Okay. So you don't have any basis for Q. 15:38:55 20 contradicting his testimony on that point, right? 21 We agree on that? 22 Um-hmm. Α. 23 And, in fact --Q. 2.4 THE COURT: Is that a yes?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

15:39:03 25

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 0. You do have a basis for contradicting --3 THE COURT: No, I wanted to make sure for 4 the record instead of "Um-hmm." 15:39:09 5 MR. CHANDRA: Thank you, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: Sorry. 6 7 BY MR. CHANDRA: And you were the one who pulled Brian Bardwell off 8 Ο. of the project, correct? 15:39:22 10 Α. I am not the one that pulled Brian Bardwell off of 11 the project. 12 But did you --Q. 13 I had no authority. Okay. Fair enough. But you conveyed to Brian 14 Ο. 15:39:30 15 Bardwell that he was being pulled off the project, 16 correct? 17 I did not convey to Brian Bardwell that he was 18 being pulled off a project. 19 You have no recollection of doing that? Q. 15:39:38 20 Α. No. 21 He came to me and said what was going on, 22 and I told him that I wasn't the person that he needed to 23 speak with; he needed to speak to the attorney that was 2.4 over the interns for the summer.

Do you have any knowledge of who communicated to

15:39:50 25

Q.

- Brian Bardwell that he was being pulled off of the public records compliance project?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. And were you -- did you gain any information about why it is that he was pulled off of that project?
  - 6 A. There were several things.
  - 7 o. Go ahead.
  - 8 A. The first one was he never signed a
  - 9 confidentiality. They did not do a background check on
- him. And based on them not having those documents, they
  - wanted to remove him from doing any further public
  - 12 records requests.
  - 13 Q. Who is "they"?
  - 14 A. The law administration.
- 15:40:30 15 Q. Who?
  - 16 A. Well, the first person -- I'm not sure of the exact
  - person, but I know that the Director of Law and the Chief
  - Counsel and Jillian, I can't remember her last name,
  - 19 were -- was involved in his removal of working on public
- 15:40:51 20
  - 21 Q. And you came to learn it was because Mr. Bardwell
  - 22 had successfully sued the City regarding public records
  - compliance some ten years earlier, correct?
  - 24 A. No, I --

records.

MR. VANCE: Objection. Misstates her

- 1 testimony.
- 2 THE COURT: She can answer.
- 3 A. I didn't know that.
- 4 MR. CHANDRA: Okay. I'm wrapping up, Your
- 15:41:11 5 Honor.
  - 6 THE COURT: All right.
  - 7 BY MR. CHANDRA:
  - 8 Q. For whatever number of days it is that Mr. Bardwell
  - 9 was working with you --
- 15:41:26 10 A. Okay.
  - 11 Q. -- on helping clear public records backlog for
  - whatever time period, would you agree that part of that
  - process was that he was filling out a tracking sheet as
  - to each record he was helping close or records request he
- was helping close, and then giving those to you to log
  - 16 into the public records database?
  - 17 A. That may have been his objection, but I never
  - 18 received anything from him.
  - 19 Q. So it's your testimony from your recollection that
- Mr. Bardwell never handed you any tracking sheets to
  - assist you in catching up the Public Records Log?
  - 22 A. Correct.
  - Q. What's your recollection of how it is that he would
  - communicate to you that he had completed work?
- 15:42:12 25 A. There was little communication between Brian

- 1 Bardwell and myself.
- 2 Q. Okay. So based on the fact that there was little
- 3 | communication, as you sit here today, you can't tell
- 4 Judge Boyko what public records requests he completed and
- 15:42:28 5 which ones he didn't?
  - 6 A. That is correct.
  - 7 Q. All right. This computer that you've described in
  - 8 your testimony as Brian Bardwell's computer, do you know
  - 9 whether that computer was left completely idle at the Law
- 15:42:53 10 Department and not used by anybody else from the time
  - period that Mr. Bardwell was a law clerk in the summer of
  - 12 | 2016 and whatever time period it was that it was being
  - 13 checked to see what documents he had used on there?
  - 14 A. No, I don't personally know.
- 15:43:07 15 Q. And when was the computer, to your knowledge,
  - 16 checked for that purpose?
  - 17 A. I would not know that.
  - I would have to speak to our IT
  - 19 representative for the Law Department.
- 15:43:18 20 Q. Okay. So if I could have you look at Exhibit B
  - 21 again, you see this e-mail from Alberto Guzman?
  - 22 A. Yes.
  - 23 Q. What date is that e-mail?
  - 24 A. August the 2nd, 2016.
- 15:43:32 25 Q. So for some reason, Alberto Guzman was sending you

- an e-mail with the subject "Bardwell files," correct?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. Why?
- 4 A. Because at the -- at the time they wanted to know
- what he was working on because he had not signed papers,
  - 6 confidentiality papers, and there was no background check
  - 7 had been completed on him.
  - 8 Q. Who is "they"?
  - 9 A. The administration.
- 15:44:00 10 Q. Who?
  - 11 A. The City of Cleveland administration.
  - 12 Q. There must have been a human being who told you
  - 13 this.
  - What's that person's name?
- 15:44:06 15 A. Okay. Barbara Langhenry, the Director; human
  - resources, I don't know who specifically in that
  - Department of Human Resources, but the information came
  - 18 from Jillian. I think her last name is Dinehart.
  - 19 Q. Do you know if someone else used that computer
- after Mr. Bardwell did?
  - 21 A. No, I do not know.
  - 22 Q. Do you know where that computer is kept?
  - 23 A. No, I do not know.
  - 24 Q. So you see the e-mail asks, "Take a look and see if
- you want me to give him access to them"?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 o. Who is the "Him"?
- 3 A. Brian Bardwell.

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

21

15:45:37 20

15:44:47 5

15:45:04 10

15:45:21 15

Q. Okay. So why is it that you were making -- or that inquiry was being made of you, to your knowledge?

Was there some context from the conversation you were having with Guzman?

- A. This is again coming from the Law Department
  Director and Chief Counsel and Jillian, to make sure of
  what it was that he was doing on the computers.
- Q. But, Ms. Roberson, the question is coming to you from Mr. Guzman as to whether or not you want Mr. Guzman to give Mr. Bardwell access to those documents, correct?
- 14 A. That is correct.
  - Q. So was there -- is it possible that there was a request from Mr. Bardwell to get access to certain documents?
    - A. Mr. Bardwell came to me and said, "I don't have access to some documents," and that's when I told him again to go to Jillian Dinehart, who was responsible for him.
- 22 Q. All right.
- 23 A. His assignments.
- 24 Q. Let's move on.
- 15:45:50 25 I'd like you to take a look at Exhibit G in

- 1 the binder, please.
- 2 And let's turn it this way. And this was
- 3 the document that you said was a Public Records Request
- 4 Log from around 2004, correct?
- 15:46:08 5 A. Yes.
  - 6 Q. And the -- you see the big redaction there,
  - 7 correct?
  - 8 A. Yes.
  - 9 Q. But you'd agree that the date of the request and
- the date that it was received, what the request is, those
  - 11 are all a matter -- and even the requester, those are all
  - 12 a matter of public record and are not attorney/client
  - privileged information, correct?
  - 14 A. I would have said that.
- 15:46:29 15 Q. Okay. So let's take a look at Exhibit D, and we
  - can see here from Exhibit D -- first, we're going to try
  - 17 to focus it a little bit here -- this is the public
  - records request from Brian Bardwell that is records
  - 19 request 17-1917, correct?
- 15:47:15 20 A. Yes.
  - 21 Q. And Mr. Vance was asking you questions about this,
  - 22 correct?
  - 23 A. Yes.
  - 24 Q. You have no knowledge of whether this request was
- made on Brian Bardwell's behalf individually or on behalf

- 1 of anybody else, correct?
- 2 A. There's an e-mail behind all of that that says his
- 3 name and his e-mail address.
- Q. Right. I'm just saying you don't know whether he was making this on someone else's behalf or on his own
  - 7 You don't know one way or the other, right?
  - 8 A. Correct. Yes.

behalf, right?

- Q. And to the best of your knowledge, did you comply with this records request completely?
- 11 A. Yes.

6

15:47:58 10

15:48:49 20

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q. Well, if you could take a look at the -- read
  13 through the e-mail and see if that affects your testimony
  14 on that point.
- Tell me when you're ready.
  - 16 A. I'm ready.
  - Q. Okay. So would you agree that you did not produce the full log that would have contained all information regarding all public records requests?
    - A. All the information was not provided based on attorney/client privilege, work product.
    - Q. Okay. And in the end the records production that you did here, do you know whether or not any information regarding Sean DeCrane was provided?
- 15:49:10 25 A. No, I do not.

Do you know whether any confidential information 1 Q. 2 regarding Sean DeCrane was provided? 3 Α. I doubt it. 4 All right. 0. 15:49:18 5 MR. CHANDRA: One moment, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Go ahead. 7 MR. CHANDRA: If I could just have a moment 8 to check my notes, Your Honor, I think we may be 9 finished. 15:49:39 10 BY MR. CHANDRA: 11 Oh, a couple more points. 0. 12 You were describing in your testimony a little while ago about the process that the City of 13 14 Cleveland follows for complying with public records 15:49:50 15 requests. 16 That process is also described on the 17 City's own website, correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Would you agree that your job is stressful because of the backlog of requests? 15:50:13 20 21 Α. It has been. 22 And the persistent follow-up requests from people 0. 23 frustrated that they're not getting their records? 2.4 Α. We all are. 15:50:26 25 Q. All right. And there aren't enough people to

1 handle the volume of requests coming in? 2 Α. At the time there, that is correct. 3 Meaning back in 2016, 2015? Q. 4 Correct. Α. My last question is simply this: As you sit here 15:50:46 5 0. 6 testifying to Judge Boyko today, do you have any personal 7 knowledge that Brian Bardwell acquired any confidential 8 information related to the Sean DeCrane public records 9 requests during his time at the City? 15:51:07 10 Α. I have no personal knowledge to that effect. 11 And you also have no personal knowledge that he 12 shared any such information with The Chandra Law Firm or 13 with Sean DeCrane, correct? 14 Α. Correct. 15:51:21 15 MR. CHANDRA: All right. I have no further 16 questions, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Chandra. 18 Mr. Vance. 19 Thank you. MR. VANCE: Yes. 15:51:31 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF KIMBERLY ROBERSON 21 BY MR. VANCE: 22 Ms. Roberson, you just referenced or a reference Ο. 23 was just made to the City's website on public records and 2.4 the processes described there relative to public records. 15:52:01 25 Do you recall that testimony?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Anywhere on that website does it say anything about
- 3 specific disagreements that the internal Department of
- 4 Law may have relative to responses?
- 15:52:13 5 A. No, it does not.
  - 6 Q. Does it say anything about draft responses that the
  - 7 City's attorneys draft that you testified to earlier?
  - 8 A. No.
    - Q. Is that information ever publicly available?
- 15:52:25 10 A. No.
  - 11 Q. Do you consider that information to be
  - 12 | confidential?
  - 13 A. Yes.
  - 14 Q. In terms of how long it typically takes to respond
- to a public records request, what are the types of things
  - 16 that impact that?
  - 17 A. Obtain the records from the departments, making
  - sure that they are providing all the responsive records
  - 19 to that request.

When we receive those responsive documents,

- 21 the support staff redacts based on the knowledge that
- 22 they have, and then we turn them over to the attorney for
- 23 the final review and any additional redactions that need
- 24 to be made.
- 15:53:11 25 If there's been correspondence between the

1	departments or within our, our department,
2	attorney-to-attorney, then that is also discussed as
3	well.
4	There's more than one person that usually
5	looks at a draft just to make sure. If there's
6	disagreements in what should be released and what
7	shouldn't be released, that is discussed as well by the
8	attorneys
9	THE COURT: Mr. Vance.
0	A and by the Director as well.
1	THE COURT: I do have a question.
2	Ms. Roberson, if someone in your department
3	redacts information and then it goes to the Law
4	Department you said for further redaction?
5	THE WITNESS: The attorney.
6	THE COURT: The attorneys, I'm sorry.
7	Do the attorneys or have they ever in your
8	experience unredacted something that was redacted in your
9	department?
0	THE WITNESS: Yes.
1	THE COURT: It does happen?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, it does within our
3	department, yes.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	Go ahead, Mr. Vance.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4

- 1 MR. VANCE: Thank you.
- 2 BY MR. VANCE:
- 3 Q. Exhibit B, if you could flip to Exhibit B, briefly.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 15:54:21 5 Q. I believe you testified to earlier that Exhibit B
  - 6 was an e-mail you received from Alberto Guzman with what
  - 7 he stated were documents from the computer Brian Bardwell
  - 8 was using?
  - 9 A. Yes.
- 15:54:31 10 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt Mr. Guzman's
  - 11 statements?
  - 12 A. No.
  - 13 Q. All right. If you could go to -- do you have the
  - binder, the other binder?
- 15:54:43 15 A. Yes.
  - 16 Q. Exhibit 28, and I'll put it up on the Elmo.
  - 17 | A. Okay.
  - 18 Q. Do you see Exhibit 28 there?
  - 19 A. Yes.
- 15:55:07 20 Q. What is Exhibit 28, Ms. Roberson?
  - 21 A. It's a declaration from Alberto Guzman in regards
  - 22 to him being the supervisor of hardware evaluations at
  - 23 the City of Cleveland Department of Law and the equipment
  - 24 that was used by Brian Bardwell while he was an intern
- 15:55:31 25 with the City of Cleveland.

- 1 Q. And there's multiple documents there; there's pages
- 2 behind that declaration?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Just if you would, just flip through those very
- briefly, if you could take a look at those.
  - 6 Sorry, for the --
  - 7 A. Okay.
  - 8 Q. Let's focus on this one first.
  - 9 MR. CHANDRA: Your Honor, again objection
- based on Rule of Completeness here.
  - 11 MR. VANCE: The declaration itself actually
  - says that these are excerpts from documents that were on
  - 13 Mr. Bardwell's computer.
  - 14 BY MR. VANCE:
- 15:56:18 15 Q. The first one here, if we could, it says -- there's
  - 16 a number four there.
  - Do you see that?
  - 18 A. Yes.
  - 19 Q. Does that look at all similar to the fourth
- attachment to that e-mail that Mr. Guzman sent you in
  - 21 | Exhibit B?
  - 22 A. In Exhibit B? The very last one.
  - 23 Q. The last attachment, the fourth attachment --
  - 24 A. Right.
- 15:56:58 25 Q. to Exhibit B.

1 And then if you could go to the first 2 document between -- behind the Guzman declaration, 3 please. It's got the "1" up in the top right corner? 4 Α. Yes. Does that look like any document that was attached 15:57:13 5 6 to Exhibit B that Mr. Guzman sent you? 7 Yes. Α. And what document does that look like to you? 8 Q. Α. This is a listing of the document of all the 15:57:28 10 numbers that I saw Mr. Bardwell working on. 11 The first attachment to that Exhibit B, right? Ο. 12 Right after Alberto Guzman, this, this one here? Α. 13 Yes. Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15:57:42 15 And that's the first attachment to Exhibit B, that Q. 16 '15 document? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Do you have any reason to doubt Mr. Guzman's 19 declaration? 15:57:53 20 None at all. 21 Ms. Roberson, do you know approximately what time 22 frame it was that Mr. Chandra deemed the entire Public 23 Records Log attorney privilege and work product? 2.4 It had to be, I would say, his second year as Law 15:58:29 25 Director.

1	Q. So that would have been 2000
2	A. Because there was a discussion about the log and
3	the information that was contained on the log, and so the
4	decision was made to make it attorney/client privilege.
15:58:41 5	Q. The entirety of the log, correct?
6	A. The entire log, correct.
7	MR. VANCE: I have no further questions.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Vance.
9	Mr. Chandra.
15:58:48 10	MR. CHANDRA: A few, Your Honor.
11	RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF KIMBERLY ROBERSON
12	BY MR. CHANDRA:
13	Q. If, in fact, there were, in your Public Records
14	Log, confidential attorney advice regarding the Sean
15:59:16 15	DeCrane public records request in particular, that PR
16	15-2220, if such information existed, you would have been
17	fully capable of bringing it to court today, correct?
18	A. If I had been asked.
19	Q. Right. And no one asked you to, right?
15:59:39 20	MR. VANCE: Objection.
21	THE COURT: Overruled.
22	And please speak into the microphone.
23	Did anyone ask you to bring that?
24	THE WITNESS: No, no one asked me to.
15:59:48 25	

- 1 BY MR. CHANDRA:
- 2 Q. So as you sit here today, you have no idea whether
- 3 there was any confidential information related to Sean
- 4 DeCrane that are part of the records of the City of
- 15:59:57 5 Cleveland, correct?
  - 6 A. No, not without seeing it.
  - 7 Q. Right. And as you sit here today, you don't know
  - 8 whether Mr. Bardwell, in his two or three days of working
  - 9 on addressing the public records requests backlog,
- actually ever laid eyes on anything related to Sean
  - 11 DeCrane?
  - 12 A. Correct.
  - 13 Q. All right. And you have no basis for -- well, let
  - 14 me ask you, approximately in the summer of 2016, before
- 16:00:40 15 Mr. Bardwell started assisting you, if one were looking
  - 16 at the public records request log -- the complete log,
  - not just excerpts -- on a computer screen, about how many
  - pages would that log go on and on at that time frame?
  - 19 A. Just for 2015? I --
- 16:00:57 20 Q. No, I didn't ask about that.
  - 21 A. Oh, okay.
  - 22 Q. I'm just saying the entire Public Records Request
  - 23 Log, if a law clerk were to access that, if you were to
  - access that, just at the time that Mr. Bardwell was
- starting in the summer of 2016, about how many pages

1	would that screen go on and on that you'd have to page
2	through?
3	A. Depending on looking at the descriptions and so
4	forth, I'd say about three hundred pages.
16:01:28 5	Q. Okay. And it would be in pretty small font to look
6	at the whole thing on the screen?
7	A. Probably a ten font.
8	Q. And if someone were to testify that there would be,
9	you know, ten to 20,000 lines there to go through, does
16:01:47 10	that sound about right?
11	A. Possibly.
12	MR. CHANDRA: I have no further questions.
13	Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Chandra.
16:01:57 15	Ms. Roberson, thank you very much, ma'am.
16	You can step down.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	(Witness excused).
19	THE COURT: Okay. I believe that's it,
16:02:31 20	ladies and gentlemen.
21	I will have cross-briefs.
22	MR. CHANDRA: Your Honor, I actually wanted
23	to call Mr. Bardwell.
24	THE COURT: Not necessary. I have
16:02:40 25	everything I need. I heard him. I heard her. We're

1	done.
2	Cross-briefs due a week from today, 4:00
3	o'clock. I will specify the page limit when I think
4	about this a little bit back in my chambers.
16:02:52 5	Heard and submitted, and I'll rule as
6	quickly as I can, to move it along.
7	MR. CHANDRA: Your Honor, one request that
8	we have, if the Court would please consider it, is
9	because they bear the burden on the motion and because we
16:03:05 10	don't know what additional allegations are going to be
11	framed through the cross-brief, we ask that we be
12	permitted the opportunity to file a response brief to
13	whatever is filed rather than have cross-briefs. And
14	then, you know, we don't care if they want to reply at
16:03:17 15	that point.
16	THE COURT: I will look at the
17	cross-briefs, Mr. Chandra, and if I think I need a
18	response I will order it.
19	MR. CHANDRA: Thank you, Your Honor.
16:03:22 20	THE COURT: Heard and submitted. Thank
21	you.
22	MR. DILENO: One final request.
23	Mr. Vance is going to be out of town for a
24	few days next week.
16:03:31 25	Is there any way to get a little more
	i

205 MR. VANCE: This week. 1 2 MR. DILENO: This week, I'm sorry. 3 -- a little more time to address the 4 cross-brief issue? 16:03:39 5 THE COURT: How long are you gone for? MR. VANCE: Four days, between now and when 6 7 it's due. THE COURT: All right. I'll cut it in 8 9 half. Wednesday, 4:00 o'clock. 16:03:44 10 MR. VANCE: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. We're adjourned. Thank 13 you, everyone. 14 MR. CHANDRA: Thank you, Your Honor. 16:03:49 15 THE CLERK: All rise. 16 (Proceedings concluded at 4:04 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

INDEX WITNESSES: PAGE DIRECT EXAMINATION OF KIMBERLY ROBERSON BY MR. VANCE CROSS-EXAMINATION OF KIMBERLY ROBERSON BY MR. CHANDRA REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF KIMBERLY ROBERSON BY MR. VANCE RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF KIMBERLY ROBERSON BY MR. CHANDRA